

Appl. No. 10/708,903
Reply to Office action of October 18, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

1. Introduction

Request for Continued Examination:

5

The applicant respectfully requests continued examination of the above-indicated application as per 37 CFR 1.114.

10 Claims 1, 5-7, and 9-11 have been amended and new claims 43-51 introduced. Claims 2-4 and 12-42 are cancelled without disclaimer of any kind. Consideration of the application is respectfully requested.

2. Background

15 *Claims 1-3 and 7-11 are rejected for reasons of record. Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.*

20 **3. Response**

Without disclaimer of any kind, the applicant has chosen to amend claim 1 to include the limitations of allowed claim 4 and intervening claim 3, and claims 3-4 have been cancelled.

25 Additionally, new independent claim 43 and new claims 44-51 dependent thereon have been introduced. Claim 43 comprises the limitations of claims 1, 3, and allowed claim 5. Claims 44-51 accordingly correspond to original claims 2, 4, and 6-11. No new material has been introduced.

Dependent claim 5 has been amended to now depend upon claim 1 and to correct antecedent basis for "an electrical data signal".

30 Dependent claim 6 has been amended to now introduce a battery comprised by

Appl. No. 10/708,903
Reply to Office action of October 18, 2007

the identification device. This limitation is supported by claim 2, which has been cancelled.

Dependent claim 7 has been amended to correct antecedent basis for “the ID of the identification device”.

5 Dependent claims 9-11 have each been amended slightly modifying claim of the term “the user signal” to be “the radio user signal” to better conform to language originally used in base claim 1.

No new material has been introduced by any of the claim amendments in this response.

10

4. Summary

Agreed to by the applicant and as stated by the Examiner in “Allowable subject matter” on page 5 of the Office action dated 01/01/2007 and not stated as otherwise by the Examiner in subsequent application documents, “[p]rior art fails to disclose or 15 suggest wherein the first wireless module is also capable of generating a corresponding electrical data signal while receiving a radio signal, and the memory is capable of storing the electrical data signal or wherein the identification device further comprises an input port for receiving an electrical data signal, and the memory is capable of storing the electrical data signal received by the input port”, limitations 20 now respectively found in independent claims 1 and 43.

For at least the reasons of the absence of known references teaching or suggesting the above-cited claim limitations and allowability statements by the Examiner, and at least because the allowability of dependent claims ultimately depends upon the allowability of their respective base claims, the applicant believes 25 that the application is now in condition for allowance and respectfully requests reconsideration of claims 1, 5-11, and 43-51 and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

30

Appl. No. 10/708,903
Reply to Office action of October 18, 2007

Sincerely yours,

Winston Hsu

Date: 11/12/2007

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

5 P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.

Voice Mail: 302-729-1562

Facsimile: 806-498-6673

e-mail : winstonhsu@naipo.com

10 Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. (The time in D.C. is 13 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 10 PM in Taiwan.)